

Pennsylvania and which has a principal place of business located at 1801 N.W. 66th Avenue, Suite 200C, Plantation, FL 33313. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts already in default using the mails and telephone, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect said debts.

5. Defendant Grant & Weber, Inc. (“Grant & Weber”) is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and which has a principal place of business located at 26575 W. Agoura Road, Calabasas, CA 91302. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts already in default using the mails and telephone, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect said debts.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

6. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and § 1692 k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

7. Venue lies properly in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Defendants have been reporting derogatory and inaccurate statements and information relating to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s credit history to third parties (hereafter the “inaccurate information”) since at least September 2013 through the present.

9. The inaccurate information includes, but is not limited to, accounts with LVNV Funding, Account Resolution Services, Business Revenue Systems Central Finance Control, Credit Collection Services, GETM, Grant & Weber Arizona, Hauge Associates, and High Desert Creditors, and personal information that does not belong to Plaintiff.

10. The inaccurate information negatively reflects upon the Plaintiff, Plaintiff’s credit repayment history, Plaintiff’s financial responsibility as a debtor and Plaintiff’s credit

worthiness. The inaccurate information consists of accounts and/or tradelines that do not belong to the Plaintiff, and that actually belong to another consumer. Due to Defendant Trans Union's faulty procedures, Defendant Trans Union mixed the credit file of Plaintiff and that of another consumer with respect to the inaccurate information and other personal identifying information.

11. Defendants have been reporting the inaccurate information through the issuance of false and inaccurate credit information and consumer credit reports that they have disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.

12. Plaintiff's credit reports and file have been obtained from Defendant Trans Union and have been reviewed many times by prospective and existing credit grantors and extenders of credit, and the inaccurate information has been a substantial factor in precluding Plaintiff from receiving many different credit offers and opportunities, known and unknown, and from receiving the most favorable terms in financing and interest rates for credit offers that were ultimately made.

13. Plaintiff has disputed the inaccurate information with Trans Union by following Trans Union's established procedures for disputing consumer credit information.

14. Plaintiff has disputed the inaccurate information with Trans Union from September 2013 through the present.

15. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's efforts, Trans Union has sent Plaintiff correspondence indicating its intent to continue publishing the inaccurate information and Trans Union continues to publish and disseminate such inaccurate information to other third parties, persons, entities and credit grantors. Trans Union has repeatedly published and disseminated consumer reports to such third parties from at least September 2013 through the present.

16. Despite Plaintiff's efforts, Trans Union has never: (1) contacted Plaintiff to follow up on, verify and/or elicit more specific information about Plaintiff's disputes; (2) contacted any third parties that would have relevant information concerning Plaintiff's disputes; (3) forwarded any relevant information concerning Plaintiff's disputes to the entities originally furnishing the inaccurate information; or (4) requested or obtained any credit applications, or other relevant documents from the entities furnishing the inaccurate information.

17. Despite Plaintiff's exhaustive efforts to date, Trans Union nonetheless deliberately, willfully, intentionally, recklessly and negligently repeatedly failed to perform reasonable reinvestigations of the above disputes as required by the FCRA, has failed to remove the inaccurate information, has failed to report on the results of its reinvestigations to all credit reporting agencies, has failed to note the disputed status of the inaccurate information and has continued to report the derogatory inaccurate information about the Plaintiff.

18. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's disputes, ARS and Grant & Weber have also failed to conduct timely and reasonable investigations of Plaintiff's disputes after being contacted by the relevant credit reporting agencies concerning Plaintiff's disputes, have willfully continued to report such inaccurate information to various credit reporting agencies, and have failed to mark the above accounts as disputed.

19. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in the form of lost credit opportunities, harm to credit reputation and credit score, and emotional distress.

20. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants were acting by and through their agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendants herein.

21. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of the Defendants, as well as that of their agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, and in grossly negligent disregard for federal and state laws and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.

COUNT I – TRANS UNION
VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA

22. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

23. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was a “person” and “consumer reporting agency” as those terms are defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and (f).

24. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiff was a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

25. At all times pertinent hereto, the above-mentioned credit reports were “consumer reports” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).

26. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and 15 U.S.C. §1681o, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for willfully and negligently failing to employ and follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff’s credit report, information and file, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1681e and 1681i.

27. The conduct of Defendant was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor, in bringing about the serious injuries, actual damages and harm to Plaintiff that are outlined more fully above and, as a result, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with the attorneys’ fees and the costs of litigation.

COUNT II – ARS AND GRANT & WEBER
VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA

28. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

29. At all times pertinent hereto Defendants were “persons” as that term defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b).

30. Defendants violated sections 1681n and 1681o of the FCRA by willfully and negligently failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).

31. Defendants’ conduct was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor, in causing the serious injuries, damages and harm to the Plaintiff that are outlined more fully above, and as a result Defendants are liable to compensate Plaintiff for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with attorneys’ fees and costs, as well as such other relief, permitted by law.

COUNT III – ARS AND GRANT & WEBER
VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA

32. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

33. Defendants are “debt collectors” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.

34. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) of the FDCPA.

35. The above contacts between Defendants and Plaintiff were “communications” relating to a “debt” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2) and 1692a(5) of the FDCPA.

36. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(8), 1692e(10) and 1692f, as evidenced by the following conduct:

- a. The false representation of the amount, character or legal status of a debt;
- b. Communicating or threatening to communicate to any person credit information which is known or which should be known to be false; and
- c. Otherwise using false, deceptive, misleading and unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt from the Plaintiff.

37. Defendants' acts as described above were done with intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay monies relating to the inaccurate information.

38. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the sum of Plaintiff's statutory damages, actual damages and attorney's fees and costs.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

33. Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks judgment in Plaintiff's favor and damages against the Defendants, based on the following requested relief:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages;
- (c) Punitive damages;
- (d) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees; and

- (e) Such other and further relief as may be necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

FRANCIS & MAILMAN, P.C.

BY: /s/ Mark Mailman
MARK MAILMAN, ESQUIRE
GREGORY GORSKI, ESQUIRE
Land Title Building, 19th Floor
100 South Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19110
(215) 735-8600

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: February 18, 2013